

DG - Structures For Fiber Products

Let S, T be rings with common residue field k .

$$\pi_S: S \longrightarrow k$$

$$\pi_T: T \longrightarrow k$$

$$S \times_k T = \{ (s, t) \in S \times T \mid \pi_S(s) = \pi_T(t) \}.$$

Thm (Nasseh, Sather-Wagstaff '17)

Let M and N be f.g. modules over the fiber product $F = S \times_k T$ (S, T are local).

If $\text{Tor}_i^F(M, N) = 0 = \text{Tor}_{i+1}^F(M, N)$ for some $i \geq \underline{1}$,
then $\text{pd}_F M \leq i$ or $\text{pd}_F N \leq i$.

Graded case: $S = \frac{k[x]}{I}$, $T = \frac{k[y]}{J}$

$$\Rightarrow S \otimes_k T \cong \frac{k[x, y]}{\langle I, \underline{x}y, J \rangle} \quad \text{where } \underline{x}y = \{x_i y_j\}$$

- \mathcal{S} is the minimal free resolution of $\frac{k[x]}{I}$ over $k[x]$, $I \subseteq \langle x \rangle^2$
- \mathcal{T} is the minimal free resolution of $\frac{k[y]}{J}$ over $k[y]$, $J \subseteq \langle y \rangle^2$
- \mathcal{X} is the Koszul complex on \underline{x} over $k[x]$
- \mathcal{Y} is the Koszul complex on \underline{y} over $k[y]$

Case 1: $I = 0 = J$

- Visscher '06 gave an explicit construction of a minimal free resolution of

$$\frac{\mathbb{R}[x, y]}{\langle x, y \rangle} \cong \mathbb{R}[x] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}[y].$$

- Unpublished paper claims these resolutions are DGAs. Paper has linearity issues.

Example: We can make the minimal resolution of $\frac{\mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, y_3]}{\langle x_1 y_1, x_1 y_2, x_1 y_3, x_2 y_1, x_2 y_2, x_2 y_3 \rangle}$ into a DGA.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y_3 \\ -y_2 \\ y_1 \\ -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{R}^5 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -y_2 & -y_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 & 0 & -y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_2 & 0 & 0 & -y_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 & -y_1 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y_3 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & -y_1 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{R}^9 \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 & 0 & 0 & y_2 & y_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -y_1 & 0 & y_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -y_1 & -y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 & y_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y_1 & 0 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -y_1 & -y_2 \end{pmatrix}} \mathbb{R}^6 \xrightarrow{(x_1 y_1, x_1 y_2, x_1 y_3, x_2 y_1, x_2 y_2, x_2 y_3)} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

$$e_{x_1 y_2} \cdot e_{x_2 y_3} = y_2 e_{x_1 x_2 y_3} - x_2 e_{x_1 y_2 y_3}$$

$$e_{x_1 y_2} e_{x_2 y_2} = y_2 e_{x_1 x_2 y_2}$$

$$e_{x_1 y_2 y_3} e_{x_2 y_3} = 0$$

Construction:

$$(\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y})_i = \begin{cases} (x_{\geq 1} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_{\geq 1})_{i+1} & i \geq 1 \\ x_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0 & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\partial_i^{\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}} = \begin{cases} \partial_{i+1}^{x_{\geq 1} \otimes \gamma_{\geq 1}} & i \geq 2 \\ \partial_1^x \otimes \partial_1^{\gamma} & i = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thm (6):

If \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{Z} are minimal resolutions of $\mathbb{R}[x]/I$ and $\mathbb{R}[y]/J$, then $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{Z}$ is a minimal resolution of $\frac{\mathbb{R}[x, y]}{\langle I \cdot J \rangle}$.

Thm (6)

If \mathcal{S} is a DGA resolution and \mathcal{I} is a Taylor resolution, then $\mathcal{S} * \mathcal{I}$ is a DGA with product

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_m * f_\Omega)(e_N * f_r) \\ &= \mathbb{1}[\omega_1 \leq \sigma_1 < \omega_2] (-1)^{(|e_N|-1)(|f_\Omega|-1)} e_m e_N * P_i(f_\Omega) f_r \\ &\quad - \mathbb{1}[\omega_1 \leq \sigma_1] \mathbb{1}[|e_N|=1] e_m \partial^x(e_N) * f_\Omega f_r \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}[\sigma_1 < \omega_1 < \sigma_2] (-1)^{|e_N|(|f_\Omega|-1)} e_m e_N * f_\Omega P_i(f_r) \\ &\quad - \mathbb{1}[\sigma_1 < \omega_1] \mathbb{1}[|e_N|=1] (-1)^{(|e_N|-1)|f_\Omega|} \partial^x(e_N) e_N * f_\Omega f_r \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega = \{\omega_1 < \omega_2 < \dots\}$, $\Gamma = \{\sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < \dots\}$,

and $P_i(f_\Omega) = \frac{m_\Omega}{m_{\Omega \setminus \omega_i}} f_{\Omega \setminus \omega_i}$.

Corollary:

The fiber product $\mathcal{K}[X] \times_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{K}[Y]$ is minimally resolved by the DGA $\mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$.

Case 2: $\frac{k[x]}{I} \times_k k[y], I \subseteq \langle x \rangle^2$

Idea: Build a mapping cone such that the corresponding L.E.S. in homology reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow I \cdot \frac{k[x, y]}{\langle x, y \rangle}$$

$$\frac{k[x, y]}{\langle x, y \rangle} \longrightarrow \frac{k[x, y]}{\langle I, x, y \rangle} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\Phi: \mathcal{E}'(\mathcal{S}_1 \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$$

Need: $\phi: \mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} \quad (x_1^2, x_1 x_2) \subseteq \langle \underline{x} \rangle^2$

Example:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{S} = 0 & \longrightarrow & k[x] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}} & k[x]^2 & \xrightarrow{(x_1^2, x_1 x_2)} & k[x] \longrightarrow 0 \\
 \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow x_1 & & \downarrow \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} & & \downarrow 1 \\
 \mathcal{X} = 0 & \longrightarrow & k[x] & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}} & k[x]^2 & \xrightarrow{(x_1, x_2)} & k[x] \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Lift: $\Phi: \Sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{S}_Z \otimes_R \mathcal{Y}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} * \mathcal{Y}$

Given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\alpha \otimes f_r) = & \mathbb{1}[\|f_r\| > 0] (-1)^{|\alpha| + \|f_r\|} \phi(\alpha) * f_r \\ & + \mathbb{1}[\|f_r\| = 0] \mathbb{1}[\|\alpha\| = 1] \partial_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) * f_r \end{aligned}$$

Thm (6)

The map Φ is a chain map and

$\text{Cone}(\Phi)$ minimally resolves $\frac{\mathcal{R}[Z]}{\mathcal{I}} \times_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}[Y]$

provided \mathcal{S} is minimal and $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \langle Z \rangle^2$.

Thm (6)

The action of $\chi * \gamma$ on $\text{Cone}(\Phi)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (e_m * f_\Omega)(\alpha \otimes f_r) &= -\mathbb{1}[\ell(e_m) = 1] (-1)^{(|\alpha| - 1)|f_\Omega|} \partial^\chi(e_m) \alpha \otimes f_\Omega f_r \\ &\quad + \mathbb{1}[\omega_1 \leq \sigma_1] (-1)^{|\alpha|(|f_\Omega| - 1) + |f_r|} e_m \phi(\alpha) * f_\Omega f_r \end{aligned}$$

makes $\text{Cone}(\Phi)$ into a DG $\chi * \gamma$ -module.

$$\left(\mathcal{A} \text{ minimal, } \mathcal{I} \subseteq \langle x \rangle^2, \phi_0 = 1 \right)$$

Conj: If \mathcal{A} is DGA, ϕ a DG-morphism then $\text{Cone}(\Phi)$ is a DGA $(\alpha \otimes f_\Omega)(\beta \otimes f_r) = (-1)^{|\beta||f_\Omega|} \alpha \beta \otimes f_\Omega f_r$